

Look who's asking: Reflections on participatory and transdisciplinary research approaches and their societal relevance

Annette Breckwoldt, Priscila Lopes, Samiya Selim, Prateep Nayak, Ingrid van Putten, Elodie Fache, Hugh Govan, et al.

Background

- 1. Science with and for the benefit of society?
- This question is still relevant, even after decades of efforts to foster participatory research, and many advancements in transdisciplinary research design.
- Research questions still seem to be framed and formulated outside the actual geographical, social, cultural and ecological setting in which the research projects are supposed to be anchored.
- Even though research pathways have become more diverse, the direction of the information flow still seems predominantly one way.
- The slow progress in transforming academic and funding environments for true 'level-playing-field' research projects does not help this mono-directional research tendency.

Countries	Questionnaires	Integration of local questions?	
		Yes	No
Australia (Tas)	1	1	
Bangladesh	5	5	
Brazil	10	9	1
Fiji	X	1	
India	1	1	

Preliminary survey (2019)

Email semi-structured questionnaire, 22 Questions, to direct colleagues of co-authors, on:

- Aproaches and requests by the community stakeholders to investigate certain aspects
- Options and rationale to accomodate these (or not)
- Information from ongoing or past research projects focusing on marine protected or managed areas
- Project sites in Australia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Fiji, India ... More to come



Discussion and next steps

- Researchers have to be aware of the importance of humility (D. Armitage) and self-reflection, to deal with expectations and transparency, incl. a project's decision to 'empower local people to take and make own decisions'.
- The local understanding of the scope of projects and research may be a different one than the one in the project's proposal.
- Include those who are at the project's main engagement line, who will be first asked by the communities, those that are ,out there' every day (e.g. students).
- Research needs to be more explicit about the types and challenges of transdisciplinarity. Who is targeted for what, what time-intensity and timeline can be afforded (e.g. 2 year cycles of RARE foundation)?
- Can research take into consideration the contextualities (e.g. Fiji: women's right to speak up only in their origin community)?
- How can a project become sustainable, and/or gain independency of funding?
- Transdisciplinarity has an important role to take for sustainability of project developments, of resource use, management and conservation outcomes!

Reference: Mauser et al. (2013) Transdisciplinary global change research: the co-creation of knowledge for sustainability. Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability 5(3): 420-431.



- Who is framing and asking the research questions?
- What are the local questions?
- What's in for the people?
- Whose knowledge is used where (for whom, which processes)?
- What are the benefits for the resource users/managers/decision-makers of the countries where the research is taking place?
- Whose lens is used to undertake the data analysis, draw conclusions and write recommendations?
- What are our preconceived ideas?

Initial research questions

- Is a project... transdisciplinary, participatory, integrative?
 - ... co-creating knowledge?
 - ... meeting user's needs (of science, data, resources)?
 - ... pragmatic and meaningful?
- Has there been a change over past decades? To which effect?
- What change is still needed (i.e., research approaches, funding,
- projects organization)? How?

Preliminary Results

- The majority of respondents has been approached by community members asking the researcher and the research team to investigate specific issues.
- Researchers were asked more often than they were able to accommodate the request.
- Mostly, local questions were interesting and aligned with original research interests.
- Mostly, projects were designed based on local people's previous demands.
- In most cases, this led to increased project relevance and increased application of project results and outcomes.
- People were as much and more or interested than if they would not have been consulted.
- It was a question of accountability to people, e.g. in terms of local ecological knowledge (LEK).
- Most often, time was a main consideration (as challenge and also benefit if long term relationship existed).
- Early consultation and involvement played a role. How are the communities approached? Rapport and trust building is extremely important.
- Respective funding frame and funding conditions were second after this.









